Summary of Research Misconduct Complaints Processed by the University of Toronto under the University of Toronto Framework to Address Allegations of Research Misconduct (the "Framework")

Calendar Years 2014 – 2018

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	Totals
Complaints Received ¹	5	7	6	6	6	30
Preliminary Inquiries Conducted ⁴	5	7	6	6	6	30
Investigations Conducted ^{2,4}	0	0	3	2	0	5
Research Misconduct Confirmed ^{3,4}	1	0	2	1	1	5
Nature of the Research Misconduct Confirmed	Misleading publication		Failure to follow direction of ACC (1 instance), breach of privacy/failure to follow direction of REB/failure to reveal COI (1 instance)	Failure to follow direction of REB	Failure to obtain REB approval	

<u>Notes</u>

Information current as of April, 2025.

- Complaints over which the University had jurisdiction. If a complaint is made against a person who has an appointment at, or conducts research in, a <u>fully affiliated</u> or <u>community affiliated</u> teaching hospital, institutional jurisdiction over the complaint is determined in accordance with the Faculty of Medicine Research Misconduct Framework Addendum: <u>Procedures for Determining Jurisdiction in</u> <u>Complaints Involving Certain Non-University Institutions</u>.
- 2. The <u>Framework</u> identifies a two-stage process: an initial gathering and review of information at an preliminary inquiry stage and, if recommended by the inquiry, a subsequent investigation.

- 3. Includes confirmation of research misconduct at any stage in the process.
- 4. Cases are listed by the year in which the complaint was received. Inquiries, investigations and final confirmation of misconduct may have occurred in a calendar year subsequent to the year of the complaint, but they have been attributed to the year when the complaint was received.